## Final Exam Version A - DSC 80, Spring 2024

## Instructions:

- This exam consists of 14 questions. A total of 100 points are available.
- Questions marked with (M) will be used for your midterm exam redemption.
- Write name in the top right of each page in the space provided.
- Please write neatly in the provided answer boxes. We will not grade work that appears elsewhere.
- Completely fill in bubbles and square boxes.
  - A bubble means that you should only **select one choice**.
  - A square box means you should **select all that apply**.
- You may refer to two  $8.5" \times 11"$  sheets of notes of your own creation. No other resources or technology (including calculators) are permitted.
- Do not turn the page until instructed to do so.

Last name	
First name	
Student ID number	
UCSD email	
Name of the person to your left	
Name of the person to your right	
All the work on this exam is my own. (please sign)	

This page is intentionally left blank. Feel free to use it as scratch paper.

	date	cost	q	state			name		cat	id
0	2023-01-03	20.99	1.0	VA	JIAFUEO Ziplock Bag	Organizer, I	Bamboo Ziplock	FOOD_S	TORAGE_BAG	P2955
1	2023-01-03	23.84	1.0	VA	Briarwood Lane St	Pat's Pickup	o St Patricks Day		RUG	P2955
2	2023-01-25	12.63	1.0	VA		Pentato	nix Deluxe Version		ABIS_MUSIC	P2955
		id		age	income	state	m	arijuana	diabetes	
	0	P0001	35 - 4	14 years	\$25,000 - \$49,999	lowa		No	No	
	1	P0002	45 - 5	54 years	\$100,000 - \$149,999	Ohio		No	No	
	2	P0003	25 - 3	34 years	\$25,000 - \$49,999	Arkansas		No	Yes	

(a) (2 points) Find the participant ID of the person who made the most recent purchase in the dataset.

df.sort_values(, as	cending=True).iloc[,]
---------------------	-----------------------

(b) (4 points) Create a DataFrame that compares the range of item costs for people with diabetes and people that don't have diabetes. The DataFrame should by indexed by the unique values in the diabetes column (Yes and No) and have one column: the range of item costs (max cost - min cost) for each group.

def f(x):

return \_\_\_\_\_

(df.merge(survey, on='id')

.groupby(\_\_\_\_\_\_)[\_\_\_\_]

\_\_\_\_\_(f))

(a) (1 point) (M) What is the most likely missingness mechanism for the state column in df?
 ○ Missing by design

- Missing completely at random
- Missing at random
- $\bigcirc$  Not missing at random
- (b) (1 point) (M) What is the most likely missingness mechanism for the income column in survey?
  - $\bigcirc\,$  Missing by design
  - $\bigcirc\,$  Missing completely at random
  - $\bigcirc$  Missing at random
  - $\bigcirc$  Not missing at random

(M) The code snippet below uses a for loop. mystery = 0for i in df['id'].unique(): temp = df[df['id'] == i] if temp['q'].sum() > 100: mystery += 1(a) (5 points) Rewrite the snippet without using any loops. mystery = (df.groupby( ) \_\_\_\_\_(lambda x: \_\_\_\_\_ ) ]. \_\_\_\_\_() ) (b) (2 points) Suppose you see the output below: >>> df['id'].value\_counts() P2955 200 P3001 150 P3125 100 Name: id, Length: 3, dtype: int64 Fill in the blank in the sentence below with a single number. The code without for loops runs approximately \_\_\_\_\_\_ times faster than the code with a for loop. Question 4 ..... 10 points You want to use regular expressions to extract out the number of ounces from the 5 product names below. Index | Product Name **Expected Output** Adult Dog Food 18-Count, 3.5 oz Pouches 0 3.51 Gardetto's Snack Mix, 1.75 Ounce 1.752 Colgate Whitening Toothpaste, 3 oz Tube 3 3 Adult Dog Food, 13.2 oz. Cans 24 Pack 13.24 Keratin Hair Spray 2!6 oz 6 The names are stored in a pandas Series called names. For each snippet below, select the indexes for all the product names that **will not** be matched correctly. (a) (5 points) Snippet:  $regex = r'([\d.]+) oz'$ names.str.findall(regex)  $\Box$  0  $\Box$  1  $\Box$  2  $\Box$  3  $\Box$  4  $\Box$  All names will be matched correctly. (b) (5 points) Snippet: regex = r'(d+?.d+) oz|Ounce'

names.str.findall(regex)

 $\Box$  0  $\Box$  1  $\Box$  2  $\Box$  3  $\Box$  4  $\Box$  All names will be matched correctly.

```
t = (survey.merge(df, on='id', suffixes=('', '2'))
    .assign(is_ca=t['state'] == 'California',
        is_boot=t['cat'] == 'BOOT',
        is_tool=t['cat'] == 'TOOLS'))
```

The first few rows of  ${\sf t}$  are shown below:

	id	age	income	state	 cat	is_ca	is_boot	is_tool
0	P1852	18 - 24 years	\$75,000 - \$99,999	Maryland	 COMPUTER	False	False	False
1	P2244	25 - 34 years	Less than \$25,000	North Carolina	 WATER	False	False	False
2	P2244	25 - 34 years	Less than \$25,000	North Carolina	 FRUIT_SNACK	False	False	False

For each pivot table below, state whether it is **possible** to observe Simpson's paradox without any extra information about the data.

```
)
```

 $\bigcirc$  Yes  $\bigcirc$  No  $\bigcirc$  Need more information to determine

		date	cost	q	state			na	me	cat	id
0	2023-	01-03	20.99	1.0	VA	JIAFUE	) Ziplock Ba	g Organizer, Bamboo Ziplocł	FOOD	_STORAGE_BAG	P2955
1	2023-	01-03	23.84	1.0	VA	Briarv	vood Lane S	t Pat's Pickup St Patricks Da	y	RUG	P2955
2	2023-	01-25	12.63	1.0	VA			Pentatonix Deluxe Vers	ion	ABIS_MUSIC	P2955
	id		age			income	state	marijuana	diabetes		
0	P0001	35 - 4	4 years	\$	25,000	- \$49,999	lowa	No	No	-	
1	P0002	45 - 5	i4 years	\$10	0,000 -	\$149,999	Ohio	No	No		
2	P0003	25 - 3	4 years	\$	25,000	- \$49,999	Arkansas	No	Yes		

(a) (3 points) Null: Every purchase is equally likely to happen in all 50 states. Alternative: At least one state is more likely to have purchases than others.

Simulation procedure:

- $\bigcirc$  np.random.multinomial(len(df), [1/50] \* 50)
- np.random.multinomial(len(survey), [1/50] \* 50)
- O np.random.multinomial(len(df), [1/2] \* 2)
- np.random.permutation(df['state'])

(b) (3 points) Null: The income distribution of people who smoke marijuana is the same as the income distribution for people who don't smoke marijuana. Alternative: The income distributions are different.

Simulation procedure:

- np.random.multinomial(len(survey), [1/50] \* 50)
- np.random.multinomial(len(survey), [1/2] \* 2)
- np.random.permutation(survey['income'])

## Test statistic:

Test statistic:

 $\bigcirc$  Difference in means

 $\bigcirc$  K-S test statistic

Absolute difference in means
 Total variation distance

- Difference in means
- $\bigcirc$  Absolute difference in means
- Total variation distance
  - $\bigcirc$  K-S test statistic
- (c) (3 points) Null: The distribution of prices for items with missing categories is the same as the distribution of prices for items with recorded categories.

Alternative: Items with missing categories are more expensive than items with with recorded categories.

Simulation procedure:

np.random.multinomial(len(df), [1/50] \* 50)

- np.random.multinomial(len(df), [1/2] \* 2)
- O np.random.permutation(df['cost'])

Test statistic:

- $\bigcirc$  Difference in means
- $\bigcirc$  Absolute difference in means
- $\bigcirc$  Total variation distance
- $\bigcirc\,$  K-S test statistic

To recover the missing values, Sam applies the imputation methods below to the cost column in missing, then recalculates the mean of the cost column. For each imputation method, choose whether the new mean will be lower (-), higher (+), exactly the same (=), or approximately the same ( $\approx$ ) as the original mean of the cost column in df (the data without any missing observations).

(a) (2 points) missing['cost'].fillna(missing['cost'].mean())

 $\bigcirc$  -  $\bigcirc$  +  $\bigcirc$  =  $\bigcirc$   $\approx$ 

(b) (2 points)
 def mystery(s):
 return s.fillna(s.mean())
 missing.groupby('state')['cost'].transform(mystery).mean()

$$\bigcirc$$
 -  $\bigcirc$  +  $\bigcirc$  =  $\bigcirc$   $\approx$ 

(c) (2 points)

def mystery2(s):
 s = s.copy()
 n = s.isna().sum()
 fill\_values = np.random.choice(s.dropna(), n)
 s[s.isna()] = fill\_values
 return s

missing.groupby('state')['cost'].transform(mystery2).mean()

 $\bigcirc$  -  $\bigcirc$  +  $\bigcirc$  =  $\bigcirc$   $\approx$ 

```
<thead>
Name Price Number of Reviews
</thead>
Radical Optimism 25 10000
Hit Me Hard and Soft 30 12000
SOS 18 30000
<!-- 997 <tr> elements omitted -->
```

Notice that the tag contains 1000 elements, but only the first three are shown above. Suppose that you've read the HTML table above into a BeautifulSoup object called soup. Fill in the code below so that the albums variable contains a list of all the album names with (strictly) more than 15,000 reviews.

```
albums = []
for tag in soup.find_all(___(a)___):
    reviews = int(___(b)___)
    if reviews > 15000:
        album = ___(c)___
        albums.append(album)
```

(a) (2 points) What should go in blank (a)?

(b) (3 points) What should go in blank (b)?

(c) (3 points) What should go in blank (c)?

	pur	gum	 paperboard	80
0	0	1	 0	1
1	0	1	 1	1
38	0	0	 0	0
39	0	0	 0	1

You also have the following outputs:

>>> bow_df.s	sum(axis=0)	>>>	bow_df.sum(axis=1)	>>> bow_df[0, 'pur']
pur	5	0	21	0
gum	41	1	22	
sugar	2	2	22	<pre>&gt;&gt;&gt; (bow_df['paperboard'] &gt; 0).sum()</pre>
				20
90	4	37	22	
paperboard	22	38	10	>>> bow_df['gum'].sum()
80	20	39	17	41
Length: 139		Leng	th: 40	

For each question below, write your answer as an unsimplified math expression (no need to simplify fractions or logarithms) in the space provided, or select "Need more information" if there is not enough information provided to answer the question.

(a) (2 points) What is the TF-IDF for the word pur in document 0?

 $\bigcirc$  Need more information

(b) (2 points) What is the TF-IDF for the word gum in document 0?

 $\bigcirc$  Need more information

(c) (3 points) What is the TF-IDF for the word paperboard in document 1?

 $\bigcirc$  Need more information

Name: .



For each of the following regression models, use the visualizations shown above to select the value that is *closest* to the fitted model weights. If it is not possible to determine the model weight, select "Not enough info". For the models below: the notation **boot** refers to the number of boots sold; **sandal** refers to the number of sandals sold; **summer=1** is a column with value 1 if the month is between March (03) and August (08), inclusive; and winter=1 is a column with value 1 if the month is between September (09) and February (02), inclusive.

(a) (2 points) boot =  $w_0$  $w_0$ :  $\bigcirc 0 \bigcirc 50 \bigcirc 100 \bigcirc$  Not enough info

- (b) (4 points) boot =  $w_0 + w_1 \cdot \text{sandal}$   $w_0: \bigcirc -100 \bigcirc -1 \bigcirc 0 \bigcirc 1 \bigcirc 100 \bigcirc$  Not enough info  $w_1: \bigcirc -100 \bigcirc -1 \bigcirc 1 \bigcirc 100 \bigcirc$  Not enough info
- (c) (4 points) boot =  $w_0 + w_1 \cdot (\text{summer=1})$  $w_0: \bigcirc -100 \bigcirc -1 \bigcirc 0 \bigcirc 1$  $\bigcirc$  100  $\bigcirc$  Not enough info  $\bigcirc -1 \bigcirc 0 \bigcirc 1$  $\bigcirc$  80  $\bigcirc$  Not enough info  $w_1: \bigcirc -80$ (d) (4 points) sandal =  $w_0 + w_1 \cdot (\text{summer=1})$  $w_0: \bigcirc -20$ ○ -1  $\bigcirc 0 \bigcirc 1$  $\bigcirc 20 \bigcirc$  Not enough info  $w_1: \bigcirc -80 \bigcirc -1 \bigcirc 0 \bigcirc 1 \bigcirc 80 \bigcirc$  Not enough info (e) (3 points) sandal =  $w_0 + w_1 \cdot (\text{summer=1}) + w_2 \cdot (\text{winter=1})$  $w_0: \bigcirc -20$  $\bigcirc$  -1  $\bigcirc$  0  $\bigcirc$  1  $\bigcirc$  20  $\bigcirc$  Not enough info  $w_1: \bigcirc -80 \bigcirc -1 \bigcirc 0 \bigcirc 1$  $\bigcirc$  80  $\bigcirc$  Not enough info

 $w_1$ :  $\bigcirc$  -80  $\bigcirc$  -1  $\bigcirc$  0  $\bigcirc$  1  $\bigcirc$  80  $\bigcirc$  Not enough info

Suppose you fit four different models to predict whether someone has an income greater than \$100,000 a year using their purchase history. You split the data into a training and test set and use 3-fold cross-validation. The table below shows all the calculated accuracies for each model (higher accuracy is better).

	train	fold 1	fold 2	fold 3	test
Model A	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.4
Model B	0.7	0.6	0.8	0.9	0.5
Model C	0.8	0.9	0.2	0.1	0.6
Model D	1.0	0.8	0.3	0.5	0.3

- (a) (2 points) Which model has the lowest model bias?  $\bigcirc$  Model A  $\bigcirc$  Model B  $\bigcirc$  Model C  $\bigcirc$  Model D
- (b) (2 points) Which model most severely underfits the data?  $\bigcirc$  Model A  $\bigcirc$  Model B  $\bigcirc$  Model C  $\bigcirc$  Model D
- (c) (2 points) Which model most severely overfits the data?  $\bigcirc$  Model A  $\bigcirc$  Model B  $\bigcirc$  Model C  $\bigcirc$  Model D
- (d) (3 points) Which model should you pick overall?  $\bigcirc$  Model A  $\bigcirc$  Model B  $\bigcirc$  Model C  $\bigcirc$  Model D

x0	<b>x1</b>	У
0	0	0
0	1	0
0	2	1
1	0	0
1	1	1
2	0	1
2	1	1
3	0	1

Write the first four splitting rules that are created by the decision tree when fitting this training set (using weighted entropy). Assume that the tree is constructed in a depth-first order. If two candidate splits have the same weighted entropy, choose the one that splits on x0.

(a) The first splitting rule is: (i) <= (ii)i. (1 point) What goes in blank (i)? ○ x0 ○ x1 ii. (1 point) What goes in blank (ii)?  $\bigcirc 0 \bigcirc 1 \bigcirc 2 \bigcirc 3$ (b) The second splitting rule is:  $\_\__(i)\_\_ <= \_\__(ii)\_\_$ i. (1 point) What goes in blank (i)?  $\bigcirc$  x0  $\bigcirc$  x1 ii. (1 point) What goes in blank (ii)?  $\bigcirc 0 \bigcirc 1 \bigcirc 2 \bigcirc 3$ (c) The third splitting rule is:  $\_\_(i)\_\_ <= \_\_(ii)\_\_$ i. (1 point) What goes in blank (i)?  $\bigcirc x0 \bigcirc x1$ ii. (1 point) What goes in blank (ii)?  $\bigcirc 0 \bigcirc 1 \bigcirc 2 \bigcirc 3$ (d) The fourth splitting rule is: (i) <= (ii)

i. (1 point) What goes in blank (i)?  $\bigcirc$  x0  $\bigcirc$  x1 ii. (1 point) What goes in blank (ii)?  $\bigcirc$  0  $\bigcirc$  1  $\bigcirc$  2  $\bigcirc$  3

Predicted Probability	Actual y
0.3	1
0.4	0
0.6	1
0.7	1
0.3	0

Recall that for logistic regression, we must also choose a threshold  $\tau$  to convert the predicted probabilities to predicted labels. For this question, assume that  $0 < \tau < 1$ . For this question, precision is undefined when the classifier doesn't make any positive predictions (since  $\frac{0}{0}$  is undefined). For each question, show your work and draw a box around your final answer in the space provided. Each of your final answers should be a single number.

(a) (2 points) What is the **lowest** possible precision for any threshold  $\tau$ ?

(b) (2 points) What is the **lowest** possible recall for any threshold  $\tau$ ?

(c) (3 points) What is the **highest** possible recall if the classifier achieves a precision of 1?

NΤ		
	amo	
LN	ame.	